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We study the problem of separation of variables in linear extensions of dynamical systems on tori.

Consider a system of differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \varphi}{d t}=a(\varphi), \quad \frac{d x}{d t}=A(\varphi) x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi \cong \mathcal{T}_{m}, x \in R^{n}$, and the vector function $a(\varphi)$ and matrix function $A(\varphi)$ are continuous in the collection of variables $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m}$ on an $m$-dimensional torus $\mathcal{T}_{m}$. We also assume that the vector function $a(\varphi)$ is such that the Cauchy problem

$$
\frac{d \varphi}{d t}=a(\varphi),\left.\quad \varphi\right|_{t=0}=\varphi_{0}
$$

has a unique solution $\varphi_{t}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)$ for every fixed $\varphi_{0} \in \mathcal{I}_{m}$.
Theorems that guarantee the separation of variables $x$ into three blocks by the change of variables $x=L(\varphi) y$ were proved in [1-3]. In the present paper, we consider more general theorems that guarantee the separation of variables into $k+1$ blocks, where $k \geq 2$.

Below, we use the notation from [1].
Theorem 1. Suppose that, for some scalar functions $\lambda_{i}(\varphi) \in C^{0}\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}\right)$, there exist nondegenerate symmetric matrices $S_{i}(\varphi) \in C^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}, a\right), i=1, \ldots, k$, that satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left[\dot{S}_{i}[\varphi]+S_{i}(\varphi) A(\varphi)+A^{*}(\varphi) S_{i}(\varphi)+\lambda_{i}(\varphi) S_{i}(\varphi)\right] x, x\right\rangle \leq-\|x\|^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also assume that the quadratic forms $\left\langle S_{i}(\varphi) x, x\right\rangle$ reduce to algebraic sums of squares, i.e., there exist nondegenerate matrices $Q_{i}(\varphi) \in C^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}, a\right), i=1, \ldots, k$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i}^{*}(\varphi) S_{i}(\varphi) Q_{i}(\varphi)=\operatorname{diag}\left\{I_{r_{i}},-I_{n-r_{i}}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we assume that $r_{1}<r_{2}<\ldots<r_{k}$. Then the validity of the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
m<\min _{2 \leq i \leq k}\left\{r_{i}-r_{i-1}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is the number of variables $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m}$, is a sufficientcondition for the existence of a nondegenerate matrix $L(\varphi) \in C^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{I}_{m}, a\right)$ such that
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$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{-1}(\varphi)[A(\varphi) L(\varphi)-\dot{L}(\varphi)]=\operatorname{diag}\left\{B_{1}(\varphi), B_{2}(\varphi), \ldots, B_{k+1}(\varphi)\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{1}(\varphi), B_{2}(\varphi), \ldots, B_{k+1}(\varphi)$ are matrices of dimensions $r_{1} \times r_{1},\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) \times\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(n-r_{k}\right) \times\left(n-r_{k}\right)$, respectively.

Proof. According to [1, Sec. 3], for system (1) to be decomposable into $k$ subsystems with respect to the variables $x$, it is sufficient that a decomposition of the $n$-dimensional identity matrix into a sum of variable projection matrices satisfying the invariance condition can be transformed into another decomposition into a sum of constant projection matrices.

For every $i=1, \ldots, k$, the validity of inequality (2) guarantees the exponential dichotomy of the torus $x=0$ of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}=a(\varphi), \quad \dot{x}=\left[A(\varphi)+\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i}(\varphi) I_{n}\right] x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For these systems, the matrices $C_{i}(\varphi), i=1, \ldots, k$, of projection onto the subspaces $E_{i}^{+}(\varphi)$ along $E_{i}^{-}(\varphi)$ continuously depend on the parameter $\varphi$ while their ranks are independent of $\varphi$, namely, rank $C_{i}(\varphi)=r_{i}$.

Let us show that the matrices $\left[C_{i}(\varphi)-C_{i-1}(\varphi)\right], i=2, \ldots, k$, are projection matrices. Inequalities (2) yield

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp \left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau}^{t} \lambda_{i}\left(\varphi_{\sigma}(\varphi)\right) d \sigma\right\}\left\|\Omega_{\tau}^{t}(\varphi) C_{i}\left(\varphi_{\tau}(\varphi)\right)\right\| \leq K \exp \{-\gamma(t-\tau)\}, \quad \tau \leq t \\
\exp \left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau}^{t} \lambda_{i}\left(\varphi_{\sigma}(\varphi)\right) d \sigma\right\}\left\|\Omega_{\tau}^{t}(\varphi)\left[C_{i}\left(\varphi_{\tau}(\varphi)\right)-I_{n}\right]\right\| \leq K \exp \{-\gamma(t-\tau)\}, \quad t<\tau \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that the matrices $C_{i}(\varphi), i=1, \ldots, k$, possess the invariance property

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}\left(\varphi_{t}(\varphi)\right) \Omega_{0}^{t}(\varphi) \equiv \Omega_{0}^{t}(\varphi) C_{i}(\varphi) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $1 \leq i<j \leq k$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[C_{j}(\varphi)-C_{i}(\varphi)\right] C_{i}(\varphi) \equiv\left[C_{j}(\varphi)-I_{n}\right] C_{i}(\varphi)} \\
& C_{i}(\varphi)\left[C_{j}(\varphi)-C_{i}(\varphi)\right] \equiv C_{i}(\varphi)\left[C_{j}(\varphi)-I_{n}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

taking into account the relation $\operatorname{dim} E_{i}^{+}(\varphi)=r_{i}<r_{j}=\operatorname{dim} E_{j}^{+}(\varphi)$ and inequality (7) for fixed $i$ and $j$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[C_{j}(\varphi)-C_{i}(\varphi)\right] C_{i}(\varphi) \equiv 0, \quad C_{i}(\varphi)\left[C_{j}(\varphi)-C_{i}(\varphi)\right] \equiv 0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (9) that, for any $i, j=1, \ldots, k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}(\varphi) C_{j}(\varphi) \equiv C_{j}(\varphi) C_{i}(\varphi) \equiv C_{l}(\varphi) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l=\min \{i, j\}$. Taking (10) into account, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[C_{i}(\varphi)-C_{i-1}(\varphi)\right]^{2}} \\
& \begin{aligned}
{\left[C_{i}(\varphi)-C_{i-1}(\varphi)\right] } & {\left[C_{j}(\varphi)-C_{j-1}(\varphi)\right] } \\
& \equiv C_{i}(\varphi) C_{i-1}(\varphi)-C_{i-1}(\varphi) C_{i}(\varphi)+C_{i-1}^{2}(\varphi) \equiv C_{i}(\varphi)-C_{i-1}(\varphi) \\
& C_{j-1}(\varphi)-C_{i-1}(\varphi) C_{j}(\varphi)+C_{i-1}(\varphi) C_{j-1}(\varphi) \equiv 0 \quad \forall i \neq j .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for every $i, j=2, \ldots, k$, we get

$$
\left[C_{i}(\varphi)-C_{i-1}(\varphi)\right]\left[C_{j}(\varphi)-C_{j-1}(\varphi)\right] \equiv \begin{cases}C_{i}(\varphi)-C_{i-1}(\varphi), & i=j, \\ 0, & i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

It follows from (8) that each matrix $\left[C_{i}(\varphi)-C_{i-1}(\varphi)\right], i=2, \ldots, k$, possesses the invariance property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[C_{i}\left(\varphi_{t}(\varphi)\right)-C_{i-1}\left(\varphi_{t}(\varphi)\right)\right] \Omega_{0}^{t}(\varphi) \equiv \Omega_{0}^{t}(\varphi)\left[C_{i}(\varphi)-C_{i-1}(\varphi)\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the identity matrix can be represented as the sum of $k+1$ projection matrices

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n} \equiv C_{1}(\varphi)+\left[C_{2}(\varphi)-C_{1}(\varphi)\right]+\ldots+\left[I_{n}-C_{k}(\varphi)\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and each projection matrix satisfies (11).
It follows from identity (3) with $i=1$ that there exists a nondegenerate matrix $T(\varphi) \in C^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}, a\right)$ such that

$$
T^{-1}(\varphi) C_{1}(\varphi) T(\varphi) \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left\{I_{r_{1}}, 0\right\}
$$

and

$$
T_{1}^{-1}(\varphi)\left[C_{2}(\varphi)-C_{1}(\varphi)\right] T(\varphi) \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left\{0, P_{1}(\varphi)\right\}
$$

where $P_{1}(\varphi)$ is the $\left(n-r_{1}\right) \times\left(n-r_{1}\right)$-dimensional projection matrix.
By using the condition $m<r_{2}-r_{1}$, which follows from (4), we establish [4] that there exists an $\left(n-r_{1}\right) \times\left(n-r_{1}\right)$ matrix $T_{1}(\varphi) \in C^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}, a\right)$ such that

$$
T_{1}^{-1}(\varphi) P_{1}(\varphi) T_{1}(\varphi) \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left\{I_{r_{2}-r_{1}}, 0\right\}
$$

Then there exists a nondegenerate matrix $L_{1}(\varphi) \in C^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}, a\right)$ of the form $L_{1}(\varphi)=T(\varphi) \operatorname{diag}\left\{I_{r_{1}}, T_{1}(\varphi)\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{1}^{-1}(\varphi) C_{1}(\varphi) L_{1}(\varphi) \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left\{I_{r_{1}}, 0,0\right\} \\
L_{1}^{-1}(\varphi)\left[C_{2}(\varphi)-C_{1}(\varphi)\right] L_{1}(\varphi) \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left\{0, I_{r_{2}-r_{i}}, 0\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

This yields

$$
L_{1}^{-1}(\varphi) C_{2}(\varphi) L_{1}(\varphi) \equiv L_{1}^{-1}(\varphi) C_{1}(\varphi) L_{1}(\varphi)+L_{1}^{-1}(\varphi)\left[C_{2}(\varphi)-C_{1}(\varphi)\right] L_{1}(\varphi) \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left\{I_{r_{2}}, 0\right\} .
$$

Hence,

$$
L_{1}^{-1}(\varphi)\left[C_{3}(\varphi)-C_{2}(\varphi)\right] L_{1}(\varphi) \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left\{0, P_{2}(\varphi)\right\}
$$

where $P_{2}(\varphi)$ is the $\left(n-r_{2}\right) \times\left(n-r_{2}\right)$ projection matrix.
By repeating an analogous operation $k-2$ times, we prove that there exists a nondegenerate matrix $L(\varphi) \in$ $C^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}, a\right)$ of the form

$$
L(\varphi)=T(\varphi) \operatorname{diag}\left\{I_{r_{1}}, T_{1}(\varphi)\right\} \ldots \operatorname{diag}\left\{I_{r_{k-1}}, T_{k-1}(\varphi)\right\}
$$

By using this matrix, we can transform identity (12) into the following identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{n} & \equiv L^{-1}(\varphi) C_{1}(\varphi) L(\varphi)+L^{-1}(\varphi)\left[C_{2}(\varphi)-C_{1}(\varphi)\right] L(\varphi)+\ldots+L^{-1}(\varphi)\left[I_{n}-C_{k}(\varphi)\right] L(\varphi) \\
& \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left\{I_{r_{1}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right\}+\operatorname{diag}\left\{0, I_{r_{2}-r_{1}}, \ldots, 0\right\}+\ldots+\operatorname{diag}\left\{0,0, \ldots, I_{n-r_{k}}\right\} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

This result, according to [1], is sufficient for the validity of relation (5). Theorem 1 is proved.
If we omit condition (4) in Theorem 1, then the separation of variables can become impossible. Nevertheless, this condition can be omitted if we impose additional restrictions on the matrices $S_{i}(\varphi), i=1, \ldots, k$. Let us formulate the corresponding statement as a theorem.

Theorem 2. Suppose that, for some $\lambda_{i}(\varphi) \in C^{0}\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}\right), i=1, \ldots, k$, there exist nondegenerate symmetric matrices $S_{i}(\varphi) \in C^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}, a\right), i=1, \ldots, k$, that satisfy inequality (5) and have the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{1}(\varphi)=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\stackrel{V}{S}_{1},-\hat{S}_{1}(\varphi)\right\}, \\
S_{i}(\varphi)=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\stackrel{\breve{S}}{i},-\hat{S}_{i}(\varphi)\right\}, \quad i=2, \ldots, k,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\breve{S}_{i}(\varphi)$ are $r_{1} \times r_{1}$ matrices, $\hat{S}_{1}(\varphi)$ and $\stackrel{V}{S}_{i}(\varphi), i=1, \ldots, k$, are positive definite, and $\hat{S}_{i}(\varphi), i=$ $2, \ldots, k$, have $r_{i}-r_{1}$ positive eigenvalues and $n-r_{i}$ negative ones. Then for the existence of a nondegenerate matrix $L(\varphi) \in C^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}, a\right)$ satisfying (5), it is necessary and sufficient that the quadratic forms $\left(\hat{S}_{i}(\varphi) z, z\right)$, $i=2, \ldots, k, z \in R^{n-r_{1}}$, be reducible to an algebraic sum of squares, i.e., that there exist $\left(n-r_{1}\right) \times\left(n-r_{1}\right)$ matrices $\bar{Q}_{i}(\varphi) \in C^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}, a\right), i=2, \ldots, k$, such that

$$
\bar{Q}_{i}^{*}(\varphi) \hat{S}_{1}(\varphi) \bar{Q}_{i}(\varphi)=\operatorname{diag}\left\{I_{r_{i}-r_{i}},-I_{n-r_{i}}\right\}
$$
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