

# ELEMENTARY REPRESENTATIONS OF THE GROUP $B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$ OF UPPER-TRIANGULAR MATRICES INFINITE IN BOTH DIRECTIONS. I

O. V. Kosyak

UDC 519.46

We define so-called “elementary representations”  $T_p^{R, \mu}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , of the group  $B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of finite upper-triangular matrices infinite in both directions by using quasi-invariant measures on certain homogeneous spaces and give a criterion for the irreducibility and equivalence of the representations constructed. We also give a criterion for the irreducibility of the tensor product of finitely many and infinitely many elementary representations.

## 1. $G$ -action, Quasiinvariant Measures, and Representations

The following construction of unitary representations of a topological group  $G$  is well known: Assume that we have a measurable space  $X$  with probability measure  $\mu$  on which the group  $G$  acts, i.e., we have a group homomorphism  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\alpha_e(x) = x \quad \forall x \in X$ , where  $e \in G$  is the identity element;
- (ii)  $\alpha_{t_1}(\alpha_{t_2}(x)) = \alpha_{t_1 t_2}(x) \quad \forall t_1, t_2 \in G, x \in X$ .

Let  $\mu^{\alpha_t}, t \in G$ , be images of the measure  $\mu$  with respect to the action  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\mu^{\alpha_t}(\Delta) = \mu(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(\Delta))$ . If  $\mu^{\alpha_t} \sim \mu \quad \forall t \in G$ , one can define a unitary representation  $\pi^{\alpha, \mu} : G \rightarrow U(L^2(X, d\mu))$  of the group  $G$  as follows:

$$(\pi_t^{\alpha, \mu} f)(x) = \left( \frac{d\mu^{\alpha_t}(x)}{d\mu(x)} \right)^{1/2} f(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(x)), \quad f \in L^2(X, d\mu). \tag{1}$$

## 2. Analog of Regular Representations of Infinite-Dimensional Groups

A regular representation of a locally compact group  $G$  is well known (see, e.g., [1]). It uses the existence of a  $G$ -invariant measure on the group  $G$ , the Haar measure, and is defined by formula (1), where  $X = G$  and  $\alpha$  is the right or the left action of the group  $G$  onto itself.

For a group  $G$  that is not locally compact, it is impossible to define a regular representation because there is no  $G$ -invariant measure on the group  $G$  [2], nor is there a  $G$ -quasiinvariant measure [3].

An analog of regular representations of some infinite-dimensional noncommutative groups (current groups) was first constructed and studied in [4–7].

Institute of Mathematics, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences, Kiev. Published in *Ukrains'kyi Matematychnyi Zhurnal*, Vol. 54, No. 2, pp. 205–215, February, 2002. Original article submitted October 25, 2001.

An analog of a regular representation for any infinite-dimensional group  $G$ , using  $G$ -quasiinvariant measures  $\mu$  on some completions  $\tilde{G}$  of the group  $G$ , was first defined in [8–10]. It uses formula (1), where  $X = \tilde{G}$  and  $\alpha$  is the right or the left action of the group  $G$  on  $\tilde{G}$ . More precisely, let  $H_\mu = L^2(\tilde{G}, d\mu)$ . We define analogs of the right  $T^{R,\mu}$  and the left  $T^{L,\mu}$  regular representations of the group  $G$  in the space  $H_\mu$ , i.e.,

$$T^{R,\mu}, T^{L,\mu} : G \rightarrow U(H_\mu),$$

in a natural way, namely,

$$(T_t^{R,\mu} f)(x) = \left( \frac{d\mu(xt)}{d\mu(x)} \right)^{1/2} f(xt), \tag{2}$$

$$(T_s^{L,\mu} f)(x) = \left( \frac{d\mu(s^{-1}x)}{d\mu(x)} \right)^{1/2} f(s^{-1}x). \tag{3}$$

It is obvious that  $[T_t^{R,\mu}, T_s^{L,\mu}] = 0 \quad \forall t, s \in G$ . Hence, the right regular representation  $T^{R,\mu}$  is reducible if  $\mu^{L_s} \sim \mu$  for some  $s \in G \setminus e$  or the measure  $\mu$  is not  $G$ -right ergodic. Let  $\mu$  be a  $G$ -right quasiinvariant measure on  $\tilde{G}$ , i.e.,  $\mu^{R_t} \sim \mu \quad \forall t \in G$ .

**Conjecture 1.** *The right regular representation  $T^{R,\mu} : G \rightarrow U(H_\mu)$  is irreducible if and only if*

- (i)  $\mu^{L_s} \perp \mu \quad \forall s \in G \setminus e$ ,
- (ii) *the measure  $\mu$  is  $G$ -right ergodic.*

**Remark.** This conjecture was formulated by Ismagilov in 1985 for the group  $B_0^{\mathbb{N}}$  of finite real upper-triangular matrices infinite in one direction and having unities on the principal diagonal and any Gaussian centered product measure  $\mu_b$ .

In this case, the conjecture was proved in [8, 9]. For the same group  $B_0^{\mathbb{N}}$  and any product measure  $\mu = \otimes_{k < n} \mu_{kn}$ , it was proved in [11] under certain technical assumption. In [12], this conjecture was proved for the group  $B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of finite upper-triangular matrices infinite in both directions for some Gaussian centered product measures. In [10], a criterion was proved for groups of interval and circle diffeomorphisms and the Wiener measure.

### 3. Analog of Regular Representations of the Group $B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$

Let  $B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the group of finite upper-triangular matrices infinite in both directions and having unities on the principal diagonal and let  $B^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the group of all matrices of this type (not necessarily finite), i.e.,

$$B_0^{\mathbb{Z}} = \left\{ I + x = I + \sum_{k < n} x_{kn} E_{kn} \mid x \text{ is finite} \right\},$$

$$B^{\mathbb{Z}} = \left\{ I + x = I + \sum_{k < n} x_{kn} E_{kn} \mid x \text{ is arbitrary} \right\},$$

where  $E_{kn}$ ,  $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are matrix units of infinite order. Let  $R$  and  $L$  denote the right and the left action of the group  $B^{\mathbb{Z}}$  onto itself;  $R_s(t) = ts^{-1}$ ,  $L_s(t) = st$ ,  $s, t \in B^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on the group  $B^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . If  $\mu^{R_t} \sim \mu$  and  $\mu^{L_t} \sim \mu \quad \forall t \in B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , then we can define by formulas (2) and (3) an analog of the right  $T^{R, \mu}$  and the left  $T^{L, \mu}$  regular representations of the group  $B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$  in the space  $H_{\mu} = L^2(B^{\mathbb{Z}}, d\mu)$ ,  $T^{R, \mu}, T^{L, \mu} : B_0^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow U(H_{\mu})$ , as follows:

$$(T_t^{R, \mu} f)(x) = \left( \frac{d\mu(xt)}{d\mu(x)} \right)^{1/2} f(xt),$$

$$(T_t^{L, \mu} f)(x) = \left( \frac{d\mu(t^{-1}x)}{d\mu(x)} \right)^{1/2} f(t^{-1}x).$$

For the generators  $A_{kn}^{R, \mu} \left( A_{kn}^{L, \mu} \right)$  of the one-parameter groups  $I + tE_{kn}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $k < n$ , corresponding to the right  $T^{R, \mu}$  (respectively, the left  $T^{L, \mu}$ ) regular representation, we have the following formulas:

$$A_{kn}^{R, \mu} = \frac{d}{dt} T_{I+tE_{kn}}^{R, \mu} \Big|_{t=0} = \sum_{r=-\infty}^{k-1} x_{rk} D_r(\mu) + D_{kn}(\mu), \quad (4)$$

$$A_{kn}^{L, \mu} = \frac{d}{dt} T_{I+tE_{kn}}^{L, \mu} \Big|_{t=0} = - \left( D_{kn}(\mu) + \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} x_{nm} D_{km}(\mu) \right), \quad (5)$$

where

$$D_{kn}(\mu) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{kn}} + \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{d\mu(x(I+tE_{kn}))}{d\mu(x)} \right)^{1/2} \Big|_{t=0}.$$

For an arbitrary product measure  $\mu = \otimes_{k < n} \mu_{kn}$ , we have

$$D_{kn}(\mu) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{kn}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{kn}} \left( \ln \mu_{kn}^{1/2}(x_{kn}) \right),$$

where  $d\mu_{kn}(x) = \mu_{kn}(x) dx$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$ . Denote

$$M_{kn}(p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} x^p \mu_{kn}(x) dx, \quad \tilde{M}_{kn}(p) = \left( (i^{-1} D_{km}(\mu))^p \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^1, d\mu_{kn})}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We define a Gaussian measure  $\mu_b$  on the group  $B^{\mathbb{Z}}$  in the following way:

$$d\mu_b(x) = \otimes_{k < n} (b_{kn}/\pi)^{1/2} \exp(-b_{kn}x_{kn}^2) dx_{kn} = \otimes_{k < n} d\mu_{b_{kn}}(x_{kn}),$$

where  $b = (b_{kn})_{k < n}$  is some set of positive numbers. In this case, we have (see, e.g., formulas (6) and (7) in [13])

$$D_{kn}(\mu_b) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{kn}} - b_{kn}x_{kn},$$

$$M_{kn}(2) = \frac{1}{2b_{kn}}, \quad M_{kn}(4) = \frac{3}{(2b_{kn})^2}, \quad M_{kn}(2m) = \frac{(2m-1)!!}{(2b_{kn})^m}, \quad (6)$$

$$\tilde{M}_{kn}(2) = \frac{b_{kn}}{2}, \quad \tilde{M}_{kn}(4) = 3\left(\frac{b_{kn}}{2}\right)^2, \quad \tilde{M}_{kn}(2m) = (2m-1)!!\left(\frac{b_{kn}}{2}\right)^m. \quad (7)$$

For an arbitrary Gaussian product measure  $\mu_b = \otimes_{k < n} \mu_{b_{kn}}$ , one can easily verify the equivalences  $\mu_b^{R_t} \sim \mu_b$  and  $\mu_b^{L_t} \sim \mu_b \quad \forall t \in B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . The following three lemmas were proved in [12]:

**Lemma 1.**

$$\mu_b^{R_t} \sim \mu_b \quad \forall t \in B_0^{\mathbb{Z}} \Leftrightarrow S_{kn}^R(\mu_b) = \sum_{r=-\infty}^{k-1} M_{rk}(2)\tilde{M}_{rn}(2) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r=-\infty}^{k-1} \frac{b_{rn}}{b_{rk}} < \infty \quad \forall k < n.$$

**Lemma 2.**

$$\mu_b^{L_t} \sim \mu_b \quad \forall t \in B_0^{\mathbb{Z}} \Leftrightarrow S_{kn}^L(\mu_b) = \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \tilde{M}_{km}(2)M_{nm}(2) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{km}}{b_{nm}} < \infty \quad \forall k < n.$$

**Lemma 3.** For  $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}, k < n$ , we have  $\mu_b^{L_{t+E_{kn}}} \perp \mu_b \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1 \setminus 0 \Leftrightarrow S_{kn}^L(\mu_b) = \infty$ .

**4. Elementary Representations of the Group  $B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$**

Consider the subgroups  $X_p, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $X^{\{p\}}$  in the group  $B^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $\{p\}$  is a finite or infinite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For  $\{p\}$  infinite in both directions, we have  $\{p\} = (p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, p_k < p_{k+1} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$X_p = \left\{ I + x \in B^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid I + x = I + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} x_{pn}E_{pn} \right\},$$

$$X^{\{p\}} = \prod_{p_k \in \{p\}} X_{p_k} = \left\{ I + x \in B^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid I + x = I + \sum_{p_k \in \{p\}} \sum_{n=p_k+1}^{\infty} x_{p_k n} E_{p_k n} \right\}.$$

Obviously, the right action of the group  $B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is well defined on the groups  $X_p$  and  $X^{\{p\}}$ .

For a  $B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -right quasiinvariant measure  $\mu$  on  $X_p$  (respectively  $X^{\{p\}}$ ), we define a representation  $T_p^{R,\mu}$  (respectively,  $T^{R,\mu,\{p\}}$ ) as follows:

$$(T_t^{R,\mu} f)(x) = \left( \frac{d\mu(xt)}{d\mu(x)} \right)^{1/2} f(xt), \quad f \in H_p(\mu) := L^2(X_p, d\mu),$$

$$(T_t^{R,\mu,\{p\}} f)(x) = \left( \frac{d\mu(xt)}{d\mu(x)} \right)^{1/2} f(xt), \quad f \in H^{\{p\}}(\mu) := L^2(X^{\{p\}}, d\mu).$$

In the particular case  $\{p\} = (1, 2, \dots, q)$ , we denote

$$X^q = X^{(1,2,\dots,q)}, \quad T^{R,\mu,q} = T^{R,\mu,(1,2,\dots,q)}, \quad H^q(\mu) = L^2(X^{(1,2,\dots,q)}, d\mu).$$

**Definition 1.** The representations  $T_p^{R,\mu}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are called elementary (see also [14]).

## 5. Irreducibility and Equivalence of Elementary Representations

For the Gaussian measure  $\mu = \mu_b$  and its projections  $\mu_{b,p} = \otimes_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mu_{b_{pn}}$ , we have the following theorem:

### Theorem 1.

1. The representation  $T_p^{R,\mu}$  is irreducible if and only if the measure  $\mu$  on the space  $X_p$  is  $B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -right-ergodic.
2. Two irreducible representations  $T_{p_1}^{R,\mu_1}$  and  $T_{p_2}^{R,\mu_2}$  are equivalent if and only if  $p_1 = p_2$  and  $\mu_1 \sim \mu_2$ .

Since  $T_p^{R,\mu}$  (respectively,  $T^{R,\mu,\{p\}}$ ) is the restriction of the representation  $T^{R,\mu}$  to the subspace  $H_p(\mu) = L^2(X_p, d\mu_p)$  (respectively,  $H^{\{p\}}(\mu) = L^2(X^{\{p\}}, d\mu^{\{p\}})$ ) of the space  $H_{\mu} = L^2(B^{\mathbb{Z}}, d\mu)$ , we have

$$A_{p,kn}^{R,\mu} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k < p, \\ D_{pn}(\mu) & \text{if } p = k < n, \\ x_{pk} D_{pn}(\mu) & \text{if } p < k < n, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

$$A_{kn}^{R, \mu, q} := A_{kn}^{R, \mu, (1, 2, \dots, q)} = \sum_{p=1}^q A_{p, kn}^{R, \mu} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k < 1, \\ \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} x_{rk} D_{rn}(\mu) + D_{kn}(\mu) & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq q, k < n, \\ \sum_{r=1}^q x_{rk} D_{rn}(\mu) & \text{if } q < k < n, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

$$A_{kn}^{R, \mu, \{p\}} := \sum_{p_m \in \{p\}, p_m \leq k} A_{p_m, kn}^{R, \mu} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k < p_{\min}, \\ \sum_{p_m \in \{p\}, p_m < k} x_{p_mk} D_{p_m n}(\mu) + D_{kn}(\mu) & \text{if } k \in \{p\}, k < n, \\ \sum_{p_m \in \{p\}, p_m < k} x_{p_mk} D_{p_m n}(\mu) & \text{if } k \notin \{p\}, p_{\min} < k < n, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where  $p_{\min} = \min\{p_m \mid p_m \in \{p\}\} \in \mathbb{R}^1 \cup \{-\infty\}$ .

**Proof.** See the proof of Theorem 5 in [14].

1. Assume that a bounded operator  $A$  on the Hilbert space  $H_p(\mu)$  commutes with the representation  $T_p^{R, \mu}$ , i.e.,  $[A, T_{p,t}^{R, \mu}] = 0 \quad \forall t \in B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . We prove that  $A$  is trivial,  $A = \lambda I$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^1$ . To prove this, we consider the commutative set of generators  $\{i^{-1}A_{p, p_n}^{R, \mu}\}_{n=p+1}^{\infty}$ . By formulas (8), we have  $i^{-1}A_{p, p_n}^{R, \mu} = i^{-1}D_{pn}(\mu)$ . Since the family of operators  $i^{-1}\mathbb{D}_p(\mu) = \{i^{-1}D_{pn}(\mu)\}_{n=p+1}^{\infty}$  has a common simple spectrum in the space  $H_p(\mu) = L^2(X_p, d\mu)$ , any bounded operator  $A$  on the space  $H_p(\mu)$  that commutes with this family is an essentially bounded function of this family:

$$A = a(i^{-1}\mathbb{D}_p(\mu)) = a(i^{-1}D_{pp+1}(\mu), i^{-1}D_{pp+2}(\mu), \dots, i^{-1}D_{pn}(\mu), \dots).$$

To complete the proof, we use the Fourier–Wiener transform defined in [13]. Let  $F_{kn}^b$  denote the one-dimensional Fourier transform corresponding to the measure  $d\mu_{b_{kn}}(x_{kn}) = (b_{kn} / \pi)^{1/2} \exp(-b_{kn}x_{kn}^2) dx_{kn}$ ,

$$F_{kn}^b : L^2(\mathbb{R}^1, d\mu_{b_{kn}}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^1, d\mu_{b_{kn}^{-1}}),$$

and given by the formula

$$(F_{kn}^b f)(y_{kn}) = \exp\left(\frac{y_{kn}^2}{2b_{kn}}\right) \sqrt{\frac{b_{kn}}{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} f(x_{kn}) \exp(iy_{kn}x_{kn}) \exp\left(-\frac{b_{kn}x_{kn}^2}{2}\right) dx_{kn}.$$

It is obvious that  $F_{kn}^b \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ , where  $\mathbf{1}(x) \equiv 1$ .

For any  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define the Fourier–Wiener transform  $F_p^b = \otimes_{n=p+1}^{\infty} F_{pn}^b$ . The operator  $F_p^b$  is an isometry between two spaces, namely,  $F_p^b: H_p(\mu_b) \rightarrow H_p(\mu_{b^{-1}})$ , where  $H_p(\mu_b) = L^2(X_p, d\mu_{b,p})$  and  $H_p(\mu_{b^{-1}}) = L^2(X_p, d\mu_{b^{-1},p})$ . We have (see [13])

$$F_p^b(i^{-1}D_{pn}(\mu_b))(F_p^b)^{-1} = y_{pn}, \quad p < n, \quad (11)$$

$$F_p^b(x_{pn}i^{-1}D_{pm}(\mu_b))(F_p^b)^{-1} = i^{-1}D_{pn}(\mu_{b^{-1}})y_{pm}, \quad p < n < m,$$

$$F_p^b A (F_p^b)^{-1} = F_p^b a(i^{-1}D_{pp+1}(\mu), \dots, i^{-1}D_{pn}(\mu), \dots)(F_p^b)^{-1} = a(y_{pp+1}, \dots, y_{pn}, \dots).$$

The one-parameter group  $\tilde{T}_{I+tE_{nm}}^{R, \mu_b} = F_p^b T_{I+tE_{nm}}^{R, \mu_b} (F_p^b)^{-1}$  corresponds to the generator  $i^{-1}D_{pn}(\mu_{b^{-1}})y_{pm}$  in the space  $H_p(\mu_{b^{-1}})$  and, therefore, it acts according to the formula

$$(\tilde{T}_{I+tE_{nm}}^{R, \mu_b} f)(\dots, y_{pn}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots) = \left( \frac{d\mu_{b^{-1},p}(\dots, y_{pn} + ty_{pm}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots)}{d\mu_{b^{-1},p}(\dots, y_{pn}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots)} \right)^{1/2} f(\dots, y_{pn} + ty_{pm}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots).$$

Hence, the commutation  $[\tilde{A}, \tilde{T}_{I+tE_{nm}}^{R, \mu_b}] = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1$ , where  $\tilde{A} = F_p^b A (F_p^b)^{-1}$ , yields

$$a(y_{pp+1}, \dots, y_{pn} + ty_{pm}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots) = a(y_{pp+1}, \dots, y_{pn}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1.$$

Indeed, it is sufficient to compare two equations, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{A} \tilde{T}_{I+tE_{nm}}^{R, \mu_b} f)(\dots, y_{pn}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots) &= a(\dots, y_{pn}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots) \\ &\quad \times \left( \frac{d\mu_{b^{-1},p}(\dots, y_{pn} + ty_{pm}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots)}{d\mu_{b^{-1},p}(\dots, y_{pn}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots)} \right)^{1/2} f(\dots, y_{pn} + ty_{pm}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots), \\ (\tilde{T}_{I+tE_{nm}}^{R, \mu_b} \tilde{A} f)(\dots, y_{pn}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots) &= \left( \frac{d\mu_{b^{-1},p}(\dots, y_{pn} + ty_{pm}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots)}{d\mu_{b^{-1},p}(\dots, y_{pn}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots)} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times a(\dots, y_{pn} + ty_{pm}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots) f(\dots, y_{pn} + ty_{pm}, \dots, y_{pm}, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of the ergodicity of the measure  $\mu_{b^{-1},p}$ , the function

$$a = a(y_{pp+1}, \dots, y_{pn}, \dots)$$

is constant and the operator  $A$  is trivial,  $A = \lambda I$ .

2. The sufficiency is obvious. Let  $T_p^{R,\mu} \sim T_{p'}^{R,\mu'}$ . We prove that  $p = p'$  and  $\mu \sim \mu'$ . We assume that  $p \neq p'$ , say,  $p > p'$ , and consider the restrictions  $T|_G$  of the representations  $T = T_p^{R,\mu}$  and  $T_{p'}^{R,\mu'}$  to the subgroup  $G = X_{p,0} = \{I + x \in B_0^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid I + x \in X_p\}$ . The spectral measure  $\mathbb{E}_p^\mu$  of the restriction  $T_p^{R,\mu}|_{X_{p,0}}$  is the spectral measure of the commutative family of self-adjoint operators  $i^{-1}\mathbb{D}_p(\mu) = \{i^{-1}D_{pn}(\mu)\}_{p=n+1}^\infty$ , and the spectral measure  $\mathbb{E}_{p'}^{\mu'}$  of  $T_{p'}^{R,\mu'}|_{X_{p,0}}$  is trivial [see (8)], whence  $p = p'$ . In this case, the spectral measures  $\mathbb{E}_p^\mu$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{p'}^{\mu'}$  are equivalent and, therefore,  $\mu \sim \mu'$ .

Indeed, let us use the Fourier–Wiener transform  $F_p^b$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{E}_p^{\mu_{b^{-1}}}(y)$  the spectral measure of the family of operators of multiplication by independent variables  $(y_{pn})_{n=p+1}^\infty$  in the Hilbert space  $H_p(\mu_{b^{-1}})$ . Since the spectral measures  $\mathbb{E}_p^\mu$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{p'}^{\mu'}$  are equivalent, by using (11) we establish that the spectral measures  $\mathbb{E}_p^{\mu_{b^{-1}}}(y)$  and  $\mathbb{E}_p^{\mu_{(b')^{-1}}}(y)$  are equivalent. Moreover, we have

$$\left(\mathbb{E}_p^{\mu_{b^{-1}}}(y)(\Delta)\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}\right)_{H_p(\mu_{b^{-1}})} = \mu_{b^{-1},p}(\Delta).$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_p^\mu \sim \mathbb{E}_{p'}^{\mu'} &\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_p^{\mu_{b^{-1}}}(y) \sim \mathbb{E}_p^{\mu_{(b')^{-1}}}(y) \Leftrightarrow \mu_{b^{-1},p} \sim \mu_{(b')^{-1},p} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \prod_{n=p+1}^\infty \frac{4(b_{pn})^{-1}(b'_{pn})^{-1}}{\left((b_{pn})^{-1} + (b'_{pn})^{-1}\right)^2} > 0 \Leftrightarrow \prod_{n=p+1}^\infty \frac{4b_{pn}b'_{pn}}{(b_{pn} + b'_{pn})^2} > 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu_{b,p} \sim \mu_{b',p}. \end{aligned}$$

### 6. Tensor Product of Finitely Many Elementary Representations and Irreducibility

Let  $\{p\} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

#### Theorem 2.

1. The representation  $T^{R,\mu,\{p\}}$  is the tensor product of the representations  $T_{p_k}^{R,\mu_{p_k}}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ :

$$T^{R,\mu,\{p\}} = \otimes_{k=1}^m T_{p_k}^{R,\mu_{p_k}}. \tag{12}$$

2. The representation  $T^{R,\mu,\{p\}}$  is irreducible if and only if

(i)  $S_{p_k p_n}^L(\mu) = \infty$ ,  $1 \leq k < n \leq m$ , and

(ii) the measure  $\mu$  on the space  $X^{\{p\}}$  is  $B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -right-ergodic.

**Proof.** We prove the theorem for  $\{p\} = (1, 2, \dots, q)$ . For other finite  $\{p\}$ , the proof is the same. We show that, by using the generators  $A_{kn}^{R, \mu, q} := A_{kn}^{R, \mu, (1, 2, \dots, q)}$ ,  $k < n$ , one can approximate the operators of multiplication by independent variables  $x_{kn}$ ,  $1 \leq k < n \leq q$ , and the set of operators  $D_{kn}(\mu)$ ,  $k < n$ ,  $k \leq q$ . Indeed, according to (9), we have

$$A_{1n}^{R, \mu, q} = D_{1n}(\mu), \quad 1 < n, \quad A_{2n}^{R, \mu, q} = x_{12}D_{1n}(\mu) + D_{2n}(\mu), \quad 2 < n,$$

$$A_{3n}^{R, \mu, q} = x_{13}D_{1n}(\mu) + x_{23}D_{2n}(\mu) + D_{3n}(\mu), \quad 3 < n,$$

$$A_{kn}^{R, \mu, q} = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} x_{rk}D_r(\mu) + D_{kn}(\mu), \quad k \leq q, \quad k < n,$$

$$A_{kn}^{R, \mu, q} = \sum_{r=1}^q x_{rk}D_r(\mu), \quad \text{if } q < k < n.$$

The proof of approximation is the same as in [9]. It is based on Lemma 6 in [14].

Denote by  $\mathfrak{A}^{R, \mu, q}(B_0^{\mathbb{Z}})$  the von-Neumann algebra generated by the representation  $T^{R, \mu, q}$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{A}^{R, \mu, q}(B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}) = (T_t^{R, \mu, q} | t \in B_0^{\mathbb{Z}})''$ . Also let  $\langle f_n | n = 1, 2, \dots \rangle$  be the closure of the linear space generated by the set of vectors  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in a Hilbert space  $H$ .

**Definition 2.** Recall [15] that a (not necessarily bounded) self-adjoint operator  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  is affiliated with the von-Neumann algebra  $M$  of operators on  $H$  (which is denoted by  $A \eta M$ ) if  $\exp(itA) \in M \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1$ .

**Lemma 4** [14].  $\{x_{kn}\}_{1 \leq k < n \leq q} \eta \mathfrak{A}^{R, \mu, q}(B_0^{\mathbb{Z}})$  if  $S_{kn}^L(\mu) = \infty$ ,  $k < n \leq q$ . In this case, we also have  $D_{kn}(\mu) \eta \mathfrak{A}^{R, \mu, q}(B_0^{\mathbb{Z}})$ ,  $k < n$ ,  $k \leq q$ .

Finally, we have  $\{x_{kn}\}_{k < n \leq q} \eta \mathfrak{A}^{R, \mu, q}(B_0^{\mathbb{Z}})$  and  $\{D_{kn}(\mu)\}_{k < n, k \leq q} \eta \mathfrak{A}^{R, \mu, q}(B_0^{\mathbb{Z}})$ , and, therefore, the commutant  $(\mathfrak{A}^{R, \mu, q}(B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}))'$  of the von-Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}^{R, \mu, q}(B_0^{\mathbb{Z}})$  coincides with essentially bounded functions from the family of operators  $i^{-1}\mathbb{D}^q(\mu) = \{i^{-1}D_{kn}(\mu)\}_{k \leq q < n}$ .

Now assume that a bounded operator  $A \in L(H^q(\mu))$  commutes with  $T_t^{R, \mu, q}$ ,  $t \in B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Then this operator  $A$  is an operator of multiplication in the space  $H^q(\mu)$  by some essentially bounded function, i.e.,  $A = a\left(\{i^{-1}D_{kn}(\mu)\}_{k < n, k \leq q}\right)$ .

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we use an appropriate Fourier–Wiener transform to prove the irreducibility. Denote  $F^{b, q} = \otimes_{p=1}^q F_p^b$ . This operator is an isometry between  $H^q(\mu_b)$  and  $H^q(\mu_{b^{-1}})$ . It is obvious that  $\tilde{A}F^{b, q}A(F^{b, q})^{-1} = a\left(\{y_{kn}\}_{k \leq q < n}\right)$  and the operator  $\tilde{T}_{I+tE_{kn}}^{R, \mu, q} = F^{b, q}\tilde{T}_{I+tE_{kn}}^{R, \mu, q}(F^{b, q})^{-1}$  acts as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \tilde{T}_{I+tE_{kn}}^{R, \mu, q} f \right) \begin{pmatrix} y_{1q+1} & \cdots & y_{1k} & \cdots & y_{1n} & \cdots \\ & & & & & \cdots \\ & & & & & \cdots \\ y_{qq+1} & \cdots & y_{qk} & \cdots & y_{qn} & \cdots \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \left( \frac{d\mu_{b^{-1}}^q(\tilde{R}_{I+tE_{kn}}(y))}{d\mu_{b^{-1}}^q(y)} \right)^{1/2} f(\tilde{R}_{I+tE_{kn}}(y)) \\
&:= \left( \frac{d\mu_{b^{-1}}^q(\tilde{R}_{I+tE_{kn}}(y))}{d\mu_{b^{-1}}^q(y)} \right)^{1/2} f \begin{pmatrix} y_{1q+1} & \cdots & y_{1k} + ty_{1n} & \cdots & y_{1n} & \cdots \\ & & & & & \cdots \\ & & & & & \cdots \\ y_{qq+1} & \cdots & y_{qk} + ty_{qn} & \cdots & y_{qn} & \cdots \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, as in the proof of Theorem 1, the commutation  $[\tilde{A}, \tilde{T}_{I+tE_{nm}}^{R, \mu, q}] = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& a \begin{pmatrix} y_{1q+1} & \cdots & y_{1k} & \cdots & y_{1n} & \cdots \\ & & & & & \cdots \\ & & & & & \cdots \\ y_{qq+1} & \cdots & y_{qk} & \cdots & y_{qn} & \cdots \end{pmatrix} \\
&= a \begin{pmatrix} y_{1q+1} & \cdots & y_{1k} + ty_{1n} & \cdots & y_{1n} & \cdots \\ & & & & & \cdots \\ & & & & & \cdots \\ y_{qq+1} & \cdots & y_{qk} + ty_{qn} & \cdots & y_{qn} & \cdots \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1, \quad \forall q < k < n.
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of the ergodicity of the measure  $\mu_{b^{-1}}^q$ , this means that the function  $a(\{y_{kn}\}_{k \leq q < n})$  is constant, i.e.,  $a(y) = \text{const}$ .

## 7. Regular Representations as Infinite Tensor Product of Elementary Representations.

### Theorem 3.

1. The representation  $T^{R, \mu}$  is the infinite tensor product of the representations  $T_p^{R, \mu_p}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$T^{R, \mu} = \otimes_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} T_p^{R, \mu_p}. \quad (13)$$

2. The representation  $T^{R, \mu}$  is irreducible if

- (i)  $S_{kn}^L(\mu) = \infty \quad \forall k < n$ ,

- (ii) the measure  $\mu$  on the group  $B^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is  $B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -right-ergodic.

$$3. \quad \sup_{n, n > k} \frac{S_{kn}^R(\mu)}{b_{kn}} = C_k < \infty \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

**Proof.** The irreducibility was proved in [12]. Representation (13) follows from (4) and (10).

### 8. Tensor Product of Infinitely Many Elementary Representations and Irreducibility

Let  $\{p\}$  be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  with finitely many negative integers.

#### Theorem 4.

1. The representation  $\otimes_{p_k \in \{p\}} T_{p_k}^{R, \mu_{p_k}}$  is irreducible if and only if
  - (i)  $S_{p_k p_n}^L(\mu) = \infty \quad \forall p_k < p_n, p_k, p_n \in \{p\}$ ,
  - (ii) the measure  $\otimes_{p_k \in \{p\}} \mu_{p_k}$  is  $B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -right-ergodic.
2. In this case,  $\otimes_{p_k \in \{p\}} T_{p_k}^{R, \mu_{p_k}} = T^{R, \mu, \{p\}}$ , where  $\mu = \otimes_{p_k \in \{p\}} \mu_{p_k}$ .
3.  $T^{R, \mu, \{p\}} \sim T^{R, \mu', \{p'\}}$  if and only if  $\{p\} = \{p'\}$  and  $\mu \sim \mu'$ .
4. The tensor product of two irreducible representations  $T^{R, \mu, \{p\}} \otimes T^{R, \mu', \{p'\}}$  is irreducible if and only if  $\{p\} \cap \{p'\} = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $S_{p_k p'_n}^L(\mu \otimes \mu') = \infty \quad \forall p_k \in \{p\}, p'_n \in \{p'\}$ .

**Proof.** The irreducibility and equivalence for  $\{p\} = \{p'\} = (p_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}, p_n = n$  follows from Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 3.1 in [9]. For other infinite  $\{p\}$  with finitely many negative integers, the proof of assertions 1 and 2 is the same.

Let us prove assertion 3 for a general  $\{p\}$ . The sufficiency is obvious. The proof of necessity is based on Theorem 1 (assertion 2) and Theorem 3.1 in [9]. Let  $T^{R, \mu, \{p\}} \sim T^{R, \mu', \{p'\}}$ , where  $\{p\} = (p_1, p_2, \dots)$  and  $\{p'\} = (p'_1, p'_2, \dots)$ . We prove that  $\{p\} = \{p'\}$  and  $\mu \sim \mu'$ . We assume that  $p_1 \neq p'_1$ , say,  $p_1 > p'_1$ , and consider the spectral measures  $\mathbb{E}_{p_1}^{\mu}$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{p'_1}^{\mu'}$  of the restrictions of the representations  $T^{R, \mu, \{p\}}$  and  $T^{R, \mu', \{p'\}}$  to the subgroup  $X_{p_1, 0}$ . The spectral measure  $\mathbb{E}_{p_1}^{\mu}$  is the spectral measure of the commutative family of self-adjoint operators  $i^{-1} \mathbb{D}_{p_1}(\mu) = \{i^{-1} D_{p_1 n}(\mu)\}_{n=p_1+1}^{\infty}$ ; it is not trivial, but the spectral measure  $\mathbb{E}_{p'_1}^{\mu'}$  is trivial [see (9), (10)]. This contradicts the assumption that  $T^{R, \mu, \{p\}} \sim T^{R, \mu', \{p'\}}$  and, therefore,  $p_1 = p'_1$ . In this case, the spectral measures  $\mathbb{E}_{p_1}^{\mu}$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{p'_1}^{\mu'}$  are equivalent, whence  $\mu_{p_1} \sim \mu'_{p'_1}$  and  $T_{p_1}^{R, \mu_{p_1}} \sim T_{p'_1}^{R, \mu'_{p'_1}}$ . Since, by virtue of (13), we have

$$T^{R, \mu, \{p\}} = T_{p_1}^{R, \mu_{p_1}} \otimes T^{R, \mu^{\{p_2\}}, \{p_2\}}, \quad T^{R, \mu', \{p'\}} = T_{p_1}^{R, \mu'_{p_1}} \otimes T^{R, \mu'^{\{p'_2\}}, \{p'_2\}},$$

and  $T^{R, \mu, \{p\}} \sim T^{R, \mu', \{p'\}}$ , we conclude that  $T^{R, \mu^{\{p_2\}}, \{p_2\}} \sim T^{R, \mu'^{\{p'_2\}}, \{p'_2\}}$ , where  $\{p_2\} = (p_2, p_3, \dots)$ ,  $\{p'_2\} = (p'_2, p'_3, \dots)$ , and

$$T^{R, \mu, \{p_2\}} = \otimes_{p_k \in \{p_2\}} T_{p_k}^{R, \mu_{p_k}}, \quad T^{R, \mu', \{p'_2\}} = \otimes_{p_k \in \{p'_2\}} T_{p_k}^{R, \mu'_{p_k}}.$$

By analogy, we establish that  $p_2 = p'_2$  and  $\mu_{p_2} \sim \mu'_{p'_2}$ . Finally,  $\{p\} = \{p'\}$  and  $\mu_{p_k} \sim \mu'_{p'_k} \quad \forall p_k \in \{p\} = \{p'\}$ . For finite  $\{p\}$  and  $\{p'\}$ , the proof is completed because, in this case, we have  $\mu = \otimes_{p_k \in \{p\}} \mu_{p_k} \sim \mu' = \otimes_{p_k \in \{p'\}} \mu'_{p'_k}$ . In the general case (for infinite  $\{p\}$  and  $\{p'\}$ ), the equivalence  $\mu_{p_k} \sim \mu'_{p'_k} \quad \forall p_k \in \{p\} = \{p'\}$  does not yield  $\mu = \otimes_{p_k \in \{p\}} \mu_{p_k} \sim \mu' = \otimes_{p_k \in \{p'\}} \mu'_{p'_k}$ . In the particular case  $\{p\} = (p_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $p_k = k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the equivalence of the measures  $\mu \sim \mu'$  follows from Theorem 3.1 in [9]. For general  $\{p\}$ , the proof is the same.

4. The sufficiency follows from assertions 1 and 2 because, in this case, we have

$$T^{R, \mu, \{p\}} \otimes T^{R, \mu', \{p'\}} = T^{R, \mu \otimes \mu', \{p\} \cup \{p'\}},$$

where  $\{p\} \cup \{p'\} = \{p_k, p'_n \mid p_k \in \{p\}, p'_n \in \{p'\}\}$ . Now let  $\{p\} \cap \{p'\} = \{p''\}$  be finite,  $\{p''\} := (p_1, \dots, p_k)$ . For infinite  $\{p''\}$ , the proof is the same. In this case, we have  $\{p\} = \{q\} \cup \{p''\}$  and  $\{p'\} = \{q'\} \cup \{p''\}$ , whence  $\{p\} \cup \{p'\} = \{q\} \cup \{q'\} \cup \{p''\}$  and

$$T^{R, \mu, \{p\}} \otimes T^{R, \mu', \{p'\}} = T^{R, \mu^{\{q\}} \otimes \mu^{\{p''\}} \otimes \mu'^{\{q'\} \cup \{q\} \cup \{p''\} \cup \{q'\}}, \{p''\}} \otimes T^{R, \mu^{\{p''\}}, \{p''\}}.$$

Thus, the proof of the fact that the last tensor product is reducible is analogous to the proof of the fact that the tensor product

$$T^{R, \mu, q} \otimes T^{R, \mu', q+k}$$

is reducible.

Consider an essentially bounded function  $a: X^q \ni x \mapsto a(x) \in \mathbb{C}^1$  and let  $A_0$  be the operator of multiplication in the space

$$H^q(\mu) \otimes H^{q+k}(\mu') = L^2(X^q, d\mu) \otimes L^2(X^{q+k}, d\mu') = L^2(X^q \otimes X^{q+k}, d\mu \otimes \mu')$$

by a function  $a_0: X^q \times X^{q+k} \ni (x, y, z) \mapsto a_0(x, y, z) = a(yx^{-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^1$ . We show that the representation  $T^{R, \mu, q} \otimes T^{R, \mu', q+k}$  commutes with  $A_0$ . Indeed, for any function  $f(x, y, z) \in L^2(X^q \otimes X^{q+k}, d\mu \otimes \mu')$ , by using the property that  $(y, z) = zy$  for any  $(y, z) \in X^q \times X^k = X^{q+k}$  in  $B^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(T_t^{R, \mu, q} \otimes T_t^{R, \mu', q+k} A_0 f)(x, zy) &= (T_t^{R, \mu, q} \otimes T_t^{R, \mu', q+k} a_0 f)(x, zy) \\
&= \left( \frac{d\mu(xt)}{d\mu(x)} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{d\mu'(zyt)}{d\mu'(zy)} \right)^{1/2} a((yt)(xt)^{-1}) f(xt, zyt) \\
&= a(yx^{-1}) \left( \frac{d\mu(xt)}{d\mu(x)} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{d\mu'(zyt)}{d\mu'(zy)} \right)^{1/2} f(xt, zyt) \\
&= (A_0 (T_t^{R, \mu, q} \otimes T_t^{R, \mu', q+k}) f)(x, zy).
\end{aligned}$$

### REFERENCES

1. J. Dixmier, *Les  $C^*$ -Algèbres et leur Représentations*, Gautiers-Villars, Paris (1969).
2. A. Weyl, *L'intégration Dans les Groupes Topologique et Ses Application*, Hermann, Paris (1951).
3. Xia-Dao-Xing, *Measures and Integration in Infinite-Dimensional Spaces*, Academic Press, New York–London (1978).
4. S. Albeverio and R. Høegh-Krohn, *The Energy Representation of Sobolev–Lie Group*, Univ. Bielefeld (1976).
5. R. S. Ismagilov, “Representations of the group of smooth mappings of a segment in a compact Lie group,” *Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen.*, **15**, No. 2, 73–74 (1981).
6. S. Albeverio, R. Høegh-Krohn, and D. Testard, “Irreducibility and reducibility for the energy representation of a group of mappings of a Riemannian manifold into a compact Lie group,” *J. Funct. Anal.*, **41**, 378–396 (1981).
7. S. Albeverio, R. Høegh-Krohn, D. Testard, and A. Vershik, “Factorial representations of path groups,” *J. Funct. Anal.*, **51**, 115–131 (1983).
8. A. V. Kosyak, “Irreducibility criterion for regular Gaussian representations of group of finite upper-triangular matrices,” *Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen.*, **24**, No. 3, 82–83 (1990).
9. A. V. Kosyak, “Criteria for irreducibility and equivalence of regular Gaussian representations of group of finite upper-triangular matrices of infinite order,” *Selecta. Math. Soviet.*, **11**, 241–291 (1992).
10. A. V. Kosyak, “Irreducible regular Gaussian representations of the group of the interval and circle diffeomorphisms,” *J. Funct. Anal.*, **125**, 493–547 (1994).
11. A. V. Kosyak, “Criteria for irreducibility of regular representations corresponding to product measures of group of finite upper-triangular matrices,” *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology*, **6**, No. 4, 43–56 (2000).
12. A. V. Kosyak, “Irreducibility of the regular Gaussian representations of the group  $B_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,” *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology*, **7**, No. 2, 42–51 (2001).
13. A. V. Kosyak and R. Zekri, “Regular representations of infinite-dimensional groups and factors. I,” *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology*, **6**, No. 2, 50–59 (2000).
14. A. V. Kosyak, “Elementary representations of the group  $B_0^{\mathbb{N}}$ . I,” *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology*, **7**, No. 1, 33–44 (2001).
15. J. Dixmier, *Les Algèbres d’Operateurs Dans L’espace Hilbertien*, Gautiers-Villars, Paris (1969).