

Spray-Invariant Sets in Infinite-Dimensional Manifolds

Kaveh Eftekharinasb, Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine

International Scientific Online Conference “Algebraic and Geometric Methods of Analysis”, May 26-29, 2025

The talk is based on the paper: arXiv preprint [arXiv:2505.10980](#)

Motivation

- For infinite-dimensional manifolds (Hilbert, Banach, and Fréchet manifolds), we introduce a broader perspective on **geodesic preservation** than the rigid notion of totally geodesic submanifolds: subsets with the property that any geodesic of a spray starting in the set stays within it for its entire domain.
- Our framework includes **singular spaces** (e.g., stratified spaces), which are common in infinite dimensions. Such sets **arise naturally** even in simple settings (e.g., linear spaces equipped with flat sprays).
- Regularity matters! singular sets may show **sensitive** dependence, for example, on parametrization, whereas for differentiable submanifolds invariance is preserved under reparametrization.

In \mathbb{R}^n , a symmetric bilinear form $\omega : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be entirely reconstructed from its associated quadratic form $a(t) = \omega(t, t)$ using the polarization identity:

$$\omega(t_1, t_2) = \frac{1}{2} (a(t_1 + t_2) - a(t_1) - a(t_2)).$$

Interestingly, this lifts beautifully to differential geometry! Ambrose, Palais, and Singer (1960) showed a similar natural bijection between:

1. **Symmetric affine connections** on a smooth manifold M . (analog of symmetric bilinear forms).
2. **Sprays** on the tangent bundle $T(M)$. (analog of quadratic forms).

Spray Geometry

In finite-dimensional Finsler theory, Finsler structures are defined by $F : TM \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, which are

- Smooth on $TM \setminus \{0\}$.
- Positively homogeneous and strongly convex on tangent spaces.

For our infinite-dimensional setting, we must give up the smoothness requirement. This is crucial because smoothness is too restrictive for many infinite-dimensional examples.

Unfortunately, this has a serious drawback: we cannot use standard Finsler geometric methods to obtain an exponential function and, hence, a spray. We do not require the existence of a spray induced by a Finsler (or Riemannian) metric or compatibility with such a structure.

Michal–Bastiani Differentiability

Let $\varphi : U \rightarrow F$ be a mapping, where U is open in E , and E, F are locally convex spaces.

- The **directional derivative** at x in direction h is

$$D\varphi_x(h) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}(\varphi(x + th) - \varphi(x))$$

- φ is **differentiable** at x if $D\varphi_x(h)$ exists for all h .
- φ is **continuously differentiable** (C^1) if it's differentiable on U and the map $D\varphi: U \times E \rightarrow F, (x, h) \mapsto D\varphi_x(h)$ is continuous.
- φ is a C^k -mapping ($k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$) if it's continuous, all iterated directional derivatives $D^j\varphi_x(h_1, \dots, h_j)$ exist for $j \leq k$, and all maps $D^j\varphi: U \times E^j \rightarrow F$ are continuous.

Defining Sprays

A C^r -mapping $V: TM \rightarrow T(TM)$ ($1 \leq r \leq k-2$) is a second-order C^r -vector field if $\tau_* \circ V = \text{Id}_{TM}$. It is called **symmetric** if, in addition, $\tau_2 \circ V = \text{Id}_{TM}$, where $\tau_2: T(TM) \rightarrow TM$, $\tau: TM \rightarrow M$, M a manifold modeled on F .

Spray: A second-order symmetric C^r -vector field $\mathbf{S}: TM \rightarrow T(TM)$:

1. $\mathbf{S}(sv) = (L_{TM})_*(s\mathbf{S}(v))$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v \in TM$.

$$L_{TM}: TM \rightarrow TM, \quad v \mapsto sv.$$

Consider a chart (U, φ) of M . The spray condition means that

$$(x, sv, sv, f(x, sv)) = (L_{TM})_*(s\mathbf{S}(v))(x, v, sv, sf(x, v)) = (x, sv, sv, s^2f(x, v))$$

$$f: U \times F \rightarrow F, \quad f(x, sv) = s^2f(x, v)$$

indicating that for each fixed $x \in U$, the function $f(x, \cdot)$ must be *quadratic* in the velocity variables v .

Defining Geodesics via Sprays

A curve $g: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ is a geodesic of a spray \mathbf{S} if its canonical lifting $g': I \rightarrow \mathrm{TM}$ is an **integral curve** of the spray \mathbf{S} .

This means the "acceleration" of the curve is determined by the spray:

$$g'' = \mathbf{S}(g')$$

Adjacent Cones

- The pseudo-distance of an element $x \in F$ to a subset $S \subset F$ with respect to a seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{F,n}$ is defined by

$$d_{F,n}(x, S) := \inf\{\|x - y\|_{F,n} \mid y \in S\}.$$

- Let $S \subset M$, $s \in S$. A vector $v \in T_s M$ is called a **first-order adjacent tangent vector** to S at s if there exists a chart (U, φ) around s , s.t.:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{-1} d_{F,n}(\varphi(s) + tD\varphi(s)(v), \varphi(U \cap S)) = 0.$$

The set of all such v is denoted by $T_s S$.

- Let $v \in T_s S$. A vector $w \in T_v(TM)$ is called a **second-order adjacent tangent vector** to S at s (with v as its associated first-order vector) if there exists a chart (U, φ) about s , s.t. $D(\varphi_*)_v(w) = v$, and

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{-2} d_{F,n}((\varphi(s) + tv_\varphi + \frac{1}{2}t^2 w_{\varphi*,2}), \varphi(U \cap S)) = 0.$$

$v_\varphi := D\varphi_s(v)$, and $w_{\varphi*} := D(\varphi_*)_v(w) = (w_{\varphi*,1}, w_{\varphi*,2})$. The set of all such w is denoted by $T_s^2 S$.

Spray-Invariant Sets: Definition

Let \mathbf{S} be a spray on M , and $S \subset M$ a non-empty subset. A vector $v \in TM$ is called a (T^2S, \mathbf{S}) -admissible vector if

$$\tau(v) \in S \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S}(v) \in T_{\tau(v)}^2 S.$$

The set of all such vectors is denoted by $A_{\mathbf{S}, S}$ and is called the (T^2S, \mathbf{S}) -admissible set for \mathbf{S} and S .

Connection to Geodesics: For a geodesic $g: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$, and a non-empty closed subset $S \subset M$:

$$g(t) \in S \quad \text{if and only if} \quad g'(t) \in A_{\mathbf{S}, S} \quad \text{for all } t \in I.$$

Definition (Spray-Invariant Set)

A subset $S \subset M$ is **spray-invariant** with respect to \mathbf{S} if: For any geodesic $g: I \rightarrow M$ of \mathbf{S} such that $0 \in I$, if $g(0) \in S$ and $g'(0) \in A_{\mathbf{S}, S}$, then $g(t) \in S$ for all $t \in I$.

Example: Spray-Invariant Singular Space

Consider the Fréchet space $\mathcal{E} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ of smooth real-valued functions. We use a **flat spray** $\mathbf{S}(f, v) = (f, v, v, \mathbf{0}_{\mathcal{E}})$, where geodesics are **affine paths**:

$$\gamma(t) = f + tv.$$

Define the **singular subset** $S = S_+ \cup S_-$, where:

$$S_+ := \{f \in \mathcal{E} \mid \text{supp}(f) \subseteq [0, \infty)\}$$

$$S_- := \{f \in \mathcal{E} \mid \text{supp}(f) \subseteq (-\infty, 0]\}$$

Although S_+ and S_- are smooth submanifolds, their union S is **singular** at the zero function (not locally homeomorphic to a linear subspace near 0).

The **admissible set** $A_{\mathbf{S}, S}$ is given by

$$A_{\mathbf{S}, S} = \bigcup_{f \in S} \{(f, v) \in T\mathcal{E} \mid v \in T_f S_+ \text{ or } v \in T_f S_-\}.$$

For any geodesic $\gamma(t) = f + tv$ with $(f, v) \in A_{\mathbf{S}, S}$, we find that $\gamma(t) \in S$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, S is **spray-invariant** with respect to \mathbf{S} .

Projective Equivalence & Singularities

Two sprays \mathbf{S} and $\bar{\mathbf{S}}$ on M are **projectively equivalent** if their geodesics share the same paths, differing only by an **orientation-preserving reparametrization**.

Formally: Any geodesic \bar{g} of $\bar{\mathbf{S}}$ can be reparametrized to be a geodesic of \mathbf{S} , and vice versa.

Key Observation for Singular Sets: The admissible sets $A_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{S}}$ and $A_{\bar{\mathbf{S}},\mathbf{S}}$ for projectively equivalent sprays \mathbf{S} and $\bar{\mathbf{S}}$ generally **differ when \mathbf{S} is singular**.

Example: Constructing a Projectively Equivalent Spray

Recall the singular set $S = S_+ \cup S_-$ from the previous example in $\mathcal{E} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

- Let $\chi(x)$ be a standard smooth **bump function** supported in $[-1, 1]$.
- For any $\varepsilon > 0$, define $\chi_\varepsilon(x) = \chi(2x/\varepsilon)$, supported in $[-\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon/2]$.
- Define the scalar function $\alpha(f, v): T\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$\alpha(f, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_\varepsilon(x) v(x) dx.$$

- For a tangent vector $v(x) = \chi_\delta(x)$ with $0 < \delta \leq \varepsilon/2$, we have $\alpha(f, v) > 0$.
- Now, define a new spray $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ as: $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}(f, v) := (f, v, v, -2\alpha(f, v) \cdot v)$.
- This spray $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ is **projectively equivalent** to the flat spray $\mathbf{S}(f, v) = (f, v, v, \mathbf{0}_{\mathcal{E}})$ used in the previous example.
 - This yields a projectively equivalent spray since it modifies the second derivative by a multiple of the adjacent tangent vector.

S is not spray-invariant under $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$.

Theorem: Totally Geodesic Submanifolds

Theorem (Characterization of Totally Geodesic Submanifolds)

Let S be a spray on a manifold M , and let S be a C^3 -submanifold of M . Then S is *totally geodesic* if and only if $A_{S,S} = TS$.

Corollary

Let M be a manifold such that, given any two distinct points in M , there is a unique geodesic passing through them. Let $S \subset M$ be a closed C^3 -submanifold with the property that, locally, given any two distinct points in S , the unique geodesic segment in M connecting them lies entirely in S . Then S is a *totally geodesic submanifold* of M .

Example: Spray-Invariant but Not Totally Geodesic

Let $\mathcal{M} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$ be the **Fréchet space** of smooth \mathbb{R}^2 -valued functions. Equipped with the **flat spray** $\mathbf{S}(f, v) = (f, v, v, (0, 0))$, where $(0, 0)$ is the zero function in \mathcal{M} . Consider the subset $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ defined by

$$S := \{f \in \mathcal{M} \mid f = (h, h^2) \text{ for some } h \in E = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\}.$$

The admissible set $A_{\mathbf{S}, S}$ for this spray and subset is

$$A_{\mathbf{S}, S} = \{(f, 0) \in T\mathcal{M} \mid f = (h, h^2), h \in E\}.$$

The tangent bundle TS is

$$TS = \{(f, v) \in T\mathcal{M} \mid f = (h, h^2), v = (u, 2hu) \text{ for some } u \in E\}.$$

S is **spray-invariant**. However, as $TS \neq A_{\mathbf{S}, S}$, this means S is **NOT** totally geodesic.

Example: A Totally Geodesic Submanifold

Let $\mathcal{M} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ be the Fréchet space of smooth real-valued functions on \mathbb{R}^n . We use the flat spray $\mathbf{S}(f, v) = (f, v, v, \mathbf{0}_{\mathcal{M}})$, where geodesics are affine paths:

$$\gamma(t) = f + tv.$$

Define the subset $S \subset \mathcal{M}$ as the set of **constant functions** on \mathbb{R}^n :

$$S := \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \mid \exists c \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } f(x) = c, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

The set S can be identified with \mathbb{R} . It's a **closed linear subspace** of \mathcal{M} , making it a closed C^∞ -submanifold.

Since all conditions of the corollary are satisfied (unique geodesics, and locally, geodesics between points in S stay in S), S is a **totally geodesic submanifold**.

Automorphisms of Sprays

A C^k -automorphism ϕ of M is an **automorphism of the spray \mathbf{S}** if

$$\phi_{**} \circ \mathbf{S} \circ \phi_*^{-1} = \mathbf{S}.$$

These automorphisms form a group under composition, denoted by $\text{Aut}(M, \mathbf{S})$. They preserve the spray's structure.

Theorem (Automorphisms Preserve Spray-Invariance)

*Let $S \subset M$ be a non-empty closed subset that is **spray-invariant** with respect to \mathbf{S} , and let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(M, \mathbf{S})$. Then $\phi(S)$ is also **spray-invariant** with respect to \mathbf{S} .*

Example: Translation Automorphism

Recall the singular spray-invariant set $S = S_+ \cup S_-$ from previous examples in the Fréchet space $\mathcal{E} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, with the flat spray \mathbf{S} .

- For a fixed $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq 0$, consider the **translation map**:

$$\phi_a: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, \quad \phi_a(f)(x) = f(x - a).$$

- This translation map ϕ_a is an **automorphism of the flat spray \mathbf{S}** .
 - Geodesics of the flat spray are affine paths: $\gamma(t) = f + tv$.
 - Applying ϕ_a to a geodesic just translates the function along the x-axis:
 $\phi_a(\gamma(t))(x) = \gamma(t)(x - a) = f(x - a) + tv(x - a)$.
 - This transformed curve is still an affine path in \mathcal{E} and thus a geodesic of the flat spray.
- Since S is spray-invariant and $\phi_a \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}, \mathbf{S})$, by the theorem:
 - The translated set $\phi_a(S)$ is also **spray-invariant**.

Invariance of $A_{S,S}$

Key Question: If S is spray-invariant, does the spray S (regarded as a first-order vector field on TM) remain second-order adjacent tangent to its own admissible set $A_{S,S}$?

Why this matters: This reformulation reduces the problem from analyzing **second-order dynamics on M** to studying **first-order dynamics on TM** , which can be more tractable.

Potential Tool: The **Nagumo-Brezis Theorem** provides a criterion for determining the invariance of sets under the flow of a vector field.

- The theorem's classical formulation applies primarily to **Banach manifolds**.
- It **does not generalize straightforwardly** to arbitrary Fréchet manifolds, posing a significant hurdle for our infinite-dimensional setting. This theorem holds true for the category of MC^k -Fréchet manifolds under nuclearity assumptions.

Bounded Differentiability: Foundations

To define MC^k -differentiability (defined by Olaf Müller, 2006) in Fréchet spaces E and F :

- We equip E and F with a specific **translation-invariant metric**:

$$\mathfrak{m}_F(x, y) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\|x - y\|_{F,n}}{1 + \|x - y\|_{F,n}}.$$

- We consider the space $L(E, F)$ of linear mappings $L: E \rightarrow F$ that are **(globally) Lipschitz continuous** with respect to these metrics.
 - The **Lipschitz constant** of a linear map L is

$$\text{Lip}(L) := \sup_{x \in E \setminus \{0_E\}} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_F(L(x), 0_F)}{\mathfrak{m}_E(x, 0_E)} < \infty.$$

- We equip $L(E, F)$ with its own translation-invariant metric:

$$(L, H) \mapsto \text{Lip}(L - H).$$

Bounded Differentiability: Definition

- Let $\varphi: U \subseteq E \rightarrow F$ be a C^1 -mapping (in the sense of Michal-Bastiani).
- φ is called **bounded differentiable** (or MC^1 -differentiable) if
 1. The directional derivative $D\varphi(x)$ (which is a linear map from E to F) belongs to the space of Lipschitz linear maps $L(E, F)$ for all $x \in U$.
 2. The induced mapping $D\varphi: U \rightarrow L(E, F)$, which sends $x \mapsto D\varphi(x)$, is **continuous** with respect to the defined metrics on U and $L(E, F)$.

In essence:

- This differentiability requires not just existence of directional derivatives, but also that these derivatives are *Lipschitz continuous* linear maps, and that the derivative mapping itself is continuous in a specific metric.
- This is a stronger form of differentiability.

Nuclear Spaces

Let $(B_1, |\cdot|_1)$ and $(B_2, |\cdot|_2)$ be Banach spaces. A linear operator $T : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ is called **nuclear** if it can be written in the form:

$$T(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \langle x, x_j \rangle y_j$$

- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality pairing between B_1 and its dual B'_1 .
- $x_j \in B'_1$ with $|x_j|'_1 \leq 1$.
- $y_j \in B_2$ with $|y_j|_2 \leq 1$.
- λ_j are complex numbers such that $\sum_j |\lambda_j| < \infty$.

A nuclear space is a locally convex topological vector space such that every continuous linear map to a Banach space is nuclear.

Flow-Invariance & The Nagumo-Brezis Theorem

- Let $A \subset M$ and V be an MC^1 -vector field on M .
- The set A is called **flow-invariant** with respect to V if:
 - For any integral curve $I(t)$ of V , if $I(0) \in A$, then $I(t) \in A$ for all $t \geq 0$ within the curve's domain.

Theorem (Nagumo-Brezis Theorem, K.E., 2024)

Let M be a **nuclear MC^k -Fréchet manifold**, and let $V: M \rightarrow TM$ be an MC^1 -vector field. Let $A \subset M$ be closed. Then, A is flow-invariant with respect to V if and only if for each $x \in M$, there exists a chart (U, ϕ) around x , such that:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{-1} \mathbb{m}_F(\phi(x) + tD\phi(x)(V(x)), \phi(U \cap A)) = 0.$$

This condition essentially means $V(x)$ is an **adjacent tangent vector** to $\phi(U \cap A)$ at $\phi(x)$.

Linking Spray-Invariance to Adjacent Tangency

Recall: The question was: If S is spray-invariant, does \mathbf{S} (as a vector field on TM) remain second-order adjacent tangent to $A_{S,S}$?

Theorem

Let M be a *nuclear MC^k -Fréchet manifold*, and let $S \subset M$ be a subset such that $A_{S,S}$ is non-empty and closed. Then, the following are *equivalent*:

1. S is *spray-invariant* with respect to \mathbf{S} .
2. \mathbf{S} is *adjacent tangent* to $A_{S,S}$ when regarded as a vector field on TM .

Theorem

Let B be a C^k -Banach manifold, $k \geq 4$, and $S \subset B$ a subset such that $A_{S,S}$ is non-empty and closed. Then, S is spray-invariant if and only if \mathbf{S} is adjacent tangent to $A_{S,S}$ when regarded as a vector field on TB .

Example: Spray-Invariant Set of Non-Negative Functions

Consider the **Banach manifold** $\mathcal{M} = C^k(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ of k -times differentiable functions on the circle S^1 . We equip it with the **flat spray** \mathbf{S} , whose geodesics are affine paths:

$$\gamma(t) = f + tv, \quad f \in \mathcal{M}, \quad v \in T_f \mathcal{M}.$$

Let $S \subset \mathcal{M}$ be the closed subset of **non-negative functions**:

$$S := \{f \in \mathcal{M} \mid f(\theta) \geq 0, \quad \forall \theta \in S^1\}.$$

When viewed as a vector field on $T\mathcal{M}$, the spray \mathbf{S} satisfies $\mathbf{S}(v) = 0$ for all $v \in A_{\mathbf{S}, S}$.

- Since the zero vector lies in every adjacent cone, this means \mathbf{S} is **adjacent tangent to $A_{\mathbf{S}, S}$** .

As \mathbf{S} is adjacent tangent to $A_{\mathbf{S}, S}$ (and $A_{\mathbf{S}, S}$ is closed), this implies that S is **spray-invariant**. The set S is also a convex cone with vertex at the zero function.

Theorem: Transversality and Spray-Invariance

Theorem

Let M be a *nuclear MC^k -Fréchet manifold*. Let S be a *closed MC^3 -submanifold* of M (as introduced in previous examples).

If the spray \mathbf{S} restricted to TS is *transverse* to $T(TS)$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{S}|_{TS} \pitchfork T(TS),$$

then S is *spray-invariant* with respect to \mathbf{S} if and only if

$$\forall v \in \mathbf{S}(T(TS)), \quad D\mathbf{S}(v)(\mathbf{S}(v)) \in T_{\mathbf{S}(v)}(T(TS)). \quad (1)$$

Geodesic Flow Invariance Theorem

- Assume B is a **Banach manifold** of class C^k ($k \geq 4$), and \mathbf{S} is a spray on B of class C^2 .
- The **geodesic flow** is the mapping:

$$\Phi_t: TB \rightarrow TB$$

that satisfies $\Phi_t(v) = g'_v(t)$, where $g_v: I \rightarrow B$ is the unique geodesic with initial tangent $v \in TB$.

Theorem

A closed subset $S \subset B$ is **spray-invariant** if and only if its admissible set $A_{\mathbf{S}, S}$ is **invariant under the geodesic flow** Φ_t .

Example: Constant Maps to a Great Circle

Consider the **Hilbert manifold** $\mathcal{M} = L^2(S^1, S^2)$, the space of square-integrable maps from the circle S^1 into the 2-sphere S^2 . The tangent space at $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is:

$$T_f \mathcal{M} \cong L^2(S^1, T_{f(\theta)} S^2).$$

\mathcal{M} carries the natural L^2 -Riemannian metric:

$$\langle v, w \rangle_f = \int_{S^1} \langle v(\theta), w(\theta) \rangle_{g_{S^2}(f(\theta))} d\theta.$$

Let **S** be the **canonical spray** associated with this metric.

Let $C \subset S^2$ be a **great circle** (a totally geodesic submanifold of S^2).

Define the closed subset

$S := \{f \in \mathcal{M} \mid \exists p \in C \text{ such that } f(\theta) = p \text{ for almost all } \theta \in S^1\}$. Its admissible set $A_{S,S}$ is **invariant under the geodesic flow** Φ_t . Therefore, by the Theorem, S is **spray-invariant**. However, S is **NOT totally geodesic**.

Example: Space of Finite Sequences (ℓ^2) - Setup

Let $H = \ell^2$, the **separable Hilbert space** of square-summable real sequences, with the standard inner product:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i y_i.$$

Let $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be its standard orthonormal basis. Define the subset $\mathcal{S} := \{x \in H \mid \text{only finitely many coordinates of } x \text{ are nonzero}\}$.

- This is the space of **finite sequences**.
- It can be expressed as a countable union of finite-dimensional linear subspaces:

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} H_k, \quad \text{where } H_k := \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_k).$$

Consider the **flat spray** of ℓ^2 . Let $x \in \mathcal{S}$ and $v \in T_x \mathcal{S}$. Then there exists a k such that both $x, v \in H_k$. The geodesic starting at x with tangent v is given by $\gamma(t) = x + tv$. Since H_k is a linear subspace, $\gamma(t) \in H_k \subset \mathcal{S}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. \mathcal{S} is **spray-invariant**.

Example: Stratification

- We consider a **stratification** of \mathcal{S} into strata S_i :

$$S_i = H_i \setminus H_{i-1},$$

- We now verify the **frontier axiom** for this stratification, where the closure is taken with respect to the topology induced from ℓ^2 .
- The closure of a stratum S_i in \mathcal{S} is simply $\overline{S_i} = H_i$.
 - **Case 1:** $i < j$
 $\overline{S_i} = H_i$. Since $H_i \subset H_j$, but H_i contains vectors with at most i nonzero components, while S_j contains vectors with exactly $j > i$ nonzero components, it follows that $H_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$. Thus, $\overline{S_i} \cap S_j = \emptyset$.
 - **Case 2:** $i = j$
Trivially, $\overline{S_i} = H_i$, and $\overline{S_i} \cap S_i = S_i \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, $S_i \subset \overline{S_i}$ by definition.
 - **Case 3:** $i > j$
We have $H_j \subset H_i$, and $S_j = H_j \setminus H_{j-1} \subset H_i$. Hence, $\overline{S_i} \cap S_j = S_j \neq \emptyset$, and $S_j \subset \overline{S_i}$.

G-Invariant Sprays

Let G be a smooth Lie group acting smoothly on a smooth Banach manifold B . A spray \mathbf{S} on B is called ***G-invariant*** if, for every $g \in G$, the action of g on B lifts to a smooth transformation $T_g: TB \rightarrow TB$ such that \mathbf{S} is preserved under this lifted action. More precisely, for all $g \in G$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TTB & \xrightarrow{T(T_g)} & TTB \\ \downarrow \mathbf{S} & & \downarrow \mathbf{S} \\ TB & \xrightarrow{T_g} & TB \end{array}$$

this condition means that for any $v \in TB$, we have

$$T(T_g)(\mathbf{S}(v)) = \mathbf{S}(T_g(v)).$$

For a point $x \in B$, the **isotropy group** (or **stabilizer**) of x , denoted by G_x , is the subgroup of G consisting of all elements $g \in G$ that leave x unchanged under the group action:

$$G_x = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot x = x\}.$$

Definition of a Slice: G -Action

A **slice** at $x \in B$ is a submanifold $V \subset B$ containing x such that

1. **H -Invariance:** $h \cdot v \in V$ for all $h \in H$ and $v \in V$, where $H = G_x$.
2. **Local Triviality:** There exists a G -equivariant diffeomorphism

$$\Phi: G \times_H V \rightarrow U$$

onto a G -equivariant open neighborhood $U \subset B$ of the orbit $G \cdot x$, such that $\Phi([g, v]) = g \cdot v$ and $\Phi([e, x]) = x$, where e is the identity in G .

3. Transversality:

$$3.1 \quad T_x V \cap T_x(G \cdot x) = \{0\}.$$

$$3.2 \quad T_x V \text{ is a closed subspace of } T_x B \text{ such that } T_x B = T_x(G \cdot x) \oplus T_x V.$$

$$3.3 \quad \text{The map } \alpha: G \times V \rightarrow B, \text{ given by } \alpha(g, v) = g \cdot v, \text{ has a derivative at } (e, x),$$

$$T_{(e,x)}\alpha: T_e G \times T_x V \rightarrow T_x B,$$

which is surjective, with kernel complemented in $T_e G \times T_x V$.

Theorem: Orbit Type Stratification & Spray-Invariance

Theorem

Let G be a *finite-dimensional smooth Lie group* acting smoothly on a smooth Banach manifold B . Assume that a smooth spray \mathbf{S} on B is *G -invariant*. And assume that for every $x \in B$, there exists a G -equivariant neighborhood U of x and a G -equivariant diffeomorphism

$$\Phi: G \times_H V \rightarrow U$$

where V is a slice at x and $H = G_x$ is the isotropy subgroup. Then the *orbit type decomposition* of B , given by

$$B = \bigcup_{[H]} B_{(H)}, \quad \text{where } B_{(H)} = \{x \in B: G_x \cong H\},$$

defines a *stratification of B* such that each stratum $B_{(H)}$ is *spray-invariant*.

Nuance: Strata vs. Individual Orbits

An important distinction arises from Theorem 10:

- The theorem guarantees that geodesics starting in an **orbit type stratum** $B_{(H)}$ remain in that stratum.
 - This means if you start at a point x with isotropy group H , the geodesic through x will always stay within the set of points whose isotropy group is isomorphic to H .
- **However, this does not imply that geodesics remain in the same individual orbit.**
 - A geodesic starting at x might move to other points y within the same stratum $B_{(H)}$ such that y is *not* in the same orbit as x (i.e., $y \notin G \cdot x$), but y still has an isotropy group isomorphic to H .
- Spray-invariance applies at the level of **strata** (sets of points with isomorphic isotropy groups), not necessarily at the finer level of **individual orbits**.

Thank You!